## Abstract Submission Guidelines for the 19th WONCA Europe Conference

## **Abstract Submission Instructions**

- Abstracts must be in English
- Submitted abstracts must be original
- Abstracts previously published or presented at an international scientific meeting cannot be submitted
- Please select the appropriate format (oral, poster, workshop) and type (case report, practice, quality, research) of your presentation
- The maximum length for the abstract title is 150 characters
- The maximum length for the abstract body is 2.500 characters
- Please indicate till six keywords (MeSH terms when available)
- Table and/or pictures are not accepted as part of the abstract
- Use only the formats available in the abstract submission form (fonts, alignment, etc.)

# **Abstract Acceptance Deadline**

The deadline for abstract submission is 15 December 2013.

# **Competing interests**

All authors should declare their competing interests in the abstract submission platform, namely support from any organisation for the submitted work, financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years and/or relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

#### **Presentation format**

Oral presentation can be made by using media resource, as PowerPoint or video, and has the maximum length of 10 minutes.

Poster presentation has to be done according to the exact dimension of 120cm x 90cm with vertical orientation, and should be readable at the distance of 1,5 meters. Workshop is understood as a teaching and learning activity based on the interaction between facilitators and participants, that has the maximum length of 90 minutes.

#### Type of presentation

Please confirm the correct type of your presentation: case report, clinical practice, quality or research.

<u>Case report</u> is considered as a descriptive text of a clinical case that illustrates the improvement of clinical reasoning in diagnostic or therapeutic approach.

<u>Practice</u> is considered as a descriptive report of a professional or personal experience that has organizational or learning impact for family doctors.

<u>Quality</u> is understood as any research project or report of an assessment or intervention performed in primary care that has the main purpose to improve care provision and patient safety.

<u>Research</u> is defined as any original work that has been undertaken in family medicine or primary care by the use of qualitative or quantitative research methodology.

#### Abstract assessment criteria

Each abstract will be anonymously assessed by two reviewers, according to the following scoring grid:

# Oral and poster presentations

Case report
Originality and relevance
Description of clinical setting
Scientific accuracy of the clinical description
Interpretation and implications for clinical practice

#### **Practice**

Originality and relevance Description of the setting Reflective thinking regarding the experience Implications and consequences

#### Quality

Originality and relevance
Objectives definition
Description of the intervention
Definition and justification of the quality criteria
Description of the methods for assessing the intervention
Pertinence of conclusions

### Research

Originality and relevance
Objectives definition
Population and sample definition
Variables and information gathering methods
Information analysis methods
Results, including descriptive and inferential statistics
Conclusions related to the study objectives

# Workshop (abstracts for workshops are uniquely assessed by these criteria)

Justification and interest of the workshop Learning goals Methods Expected impact on the participants